Iranian Journal of Medical Physics

ijmp.mums.ac.ir



Assessment of radiological hazards of travertine rocks as building materials

Reza Pourimani^{1*}, Roshanak Ghorbani¹

1. Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Arak University, Arak, Iran

| ARTICLE INFO | ABSTRACT |
|--|--|
| <i>Article type:</i> Original Article | Introduction: Although travertine is now widely used as a building material, the effects of its radiological hazards to public health should be a matter of concern. Therefore, this study aimed to determine its radiological neuron with report to human health |
| Article history: Received: Jul 26, 2018 Accepted: Sep 22, 2018 | Material and Methods: In this research 10 travertine samples were collected from exploitation zone in Haji Abad Mine in Mahallat, Iran. Specific radionuclide activities were determined by gamma spectrometry using a high purity germanium detector. In addition, radiological parameters, such as radium equivalent, air doses, |
| <i>Keywords:</i> Radiation hazard Radionuclides Dosage Construction material | Internal and external risk factors (H_{in} , H_{es}), yearly gonadal dose equivalent (AGDE), and additional cancer risk (ELCR) throughout life were calculated. <i>Results:</i> The specific activities of ²²⁶ Ra, ²³² Th, and ⁴⁰ K radionuclides ranged within 3.08-9.16, 1.22-6.45, and 20.15-91.04 Bq/kg, respectively. The obtained means of internal and external annual effective dose of samples were 0.03 and 0.007 mSv/y. External and internal hazard indices for samples were within the range of 0.003-0.01 and 0.01-0.03, respectively. <i>Conclusion:</i> The results of this study show that the amount of radionuclides in travertine rocks is very small compared to its global average in soil and rock. Therefore, it is suggested to use travertine as a building material, which is not a threat to public health. |

▶ Please cite this article as:

Pourimani R. Ghorbani R. Assessment of radiological hazards of travertine rocks as building materials. Iran J Med Phys 2019; 16: 307-313. 10.22038/ijmp.2018.33092.1401.

Introduction

There are many active mines of travertine stones in Mahallat, Iran. Hajiabad Mine is one of the largest and most qualified travertine quarries in West Asia. Travertine is a kind of limestone that has a chemical origin and is formed by the deposition of calcium carbonate near springs, caves, and marshy ponds. In other words, its formation is mainly due to the activity of hot springs. Travertine is layer-shaped with porous texture [1]. Travertine stones are widely used in buildings as the interior or exterior cladding and modern architecture. Therefore, it is of great importance to measure the radiation dose exposure to the inhabitants of these buildings. Radioactive nuclei exist naturally and artificially in our surrounding environment, and all people are exposed to nuclear radiation. Different types of materials, such as soil, stones, water, and plants contain a small amount of radionuclides [2]. Therefore, it is important to determine their amount and effects on living creatures.

Specific activities of radionuclides in the Earth's crust reported to range within 16-110 Bq/kg (mean= 35 Bq/kg) for ²³⁸U, 17-60 Bq/kg (mean= 35 Bq/kg) for ²²⁶Ra, 11-64 Bq/kg (mean= 30 Bq/kg) for ²³²Th, and 140-850 Bq/kg (mean: 400 Bq/kg) for ⁴⁰K [2, 3]. It is,

therefore, important to analyze gamma radiation spectrometry and determine the number of radioactive nuclei in rocks and soil both from the perspectives of geologists and radiologists, in order to form the basis for estimating the dose absorbed by inhabitants [4, 5]. Accordingly, this study aimed to determine specific activities of radionuclides ²²⁶Ra, ²³²Th, and ⁴⁰K, radiological parameters, including radium equivalent (Ra_{eq}), absorbed dose rate in air (D) at 1 m above the ground, indicators of internal and external hazards (H_{in}, H_{ex}), annual effective dose equivalent indoor and outdoor (AEDE), annual gonadal dose equivalent (AGDE), and the excess lifetime cancer risk (ELCR) in the samples.

Materials and Methods

Sampling and Sample Preparation

In this research, ten active walls under exploitation in 100 hectares' area of Hajiabad Mine in western Iran, where Mahallat district in Markazi Province is located, were selected for collecting the data samples. Ten samples were collected from these sites. Sampling method in this research was performed randomly and experimentally, through which the researchers took up

^{*}Corresponding Author: Email: r-pourimani@araku.ac.ir

to 2 kg of each sample. The geographical locality of sampling sites is shown in Figure 1.

Firstly, travertine stone samples were powdered through the use of a jaw crusher to remove possible moisture. Secondly, each sample was dried by an oven for 6 hours at 120 ° C to maintain constant weight during the measurement. Thirdly, the samples were sieved through a 50-mesh screen until a fine powder was achieved [6]. Finally, the powdered samples were packed and sealed in the standard Marinelli Baker's containers with net mass of 950 grams due to the fact that radon gas always tends to escape from the surface of the samples. In order to attain equilibrium between 226 Ra and its daughter 222 Rn, the samples were kept for at least 50 days at the laboratory [6]. Samples were given T1-T10 codes.

Gamma-ray spectrometry was performed using high purity germanium detector (GCD30195BSI model, manufactured by Baltic Scientific Instruments Ltd [Riga, Lv-1005, Latvia] with a relative efficiency of 30% and spectra were registered by Lsrmbsi software (005- Latvia). The energy resolution of this detector was 1.95 keV for the gamma line at 1332.520 keV ⁶⁰Co and its operating voltage was 3000 V. Each spectrum was registered for one day (86400 seconds). Energy calibration and system efficiency were estimated by using standard source containing ¹⁵²Eu, ¹³⁷Cs, and ²⁴¹Am radionuclides for each exact activities. The analysis of the spectra was performed by using the Maestro II Gamma Vision 32 software (manufactured by EG&G Ortec Company, Tennessee 37831, USA). In order to reduce the effects of background radiations, the detector was shielded by a 10-cm thick layer of lead and two inner layers of copper and cadmium with the thickness of 2 and 1 mm, respectively. This shield reduced the cosmic soft beams containing low-energy photons and electrons to a very low level. The copper layer effectively absorbed X-rays produced in lead shield with the energy of 73.9 keV and prevented them from entering the detector [7]. Thermal neutrons were effectively absorbed by the cadmium layer and did not reach the detector. Background radiation correction was measured by the subtraction of the spectrum recorded for the empty container from each spectrum under the same conditions.

To determine the gamma-ray efficiency of the detector, *Equation 1* was used [8].

$$\varepsilon(\%) = \frac{N_i}{Act \times P_n(E_i) \times t} \cdot 100 \tag{1}$$

Where, the N_i is net counts under the full-energy peak corresponding to the E_i energy, Act refers to the activity of radionuclide in the standard container in Bq, Pn (E_i) denotes the probability of the gamma photon emission with E_i , per decay in terms of percentage and tis the spectral time in second. The energy efficiency curve of the detector versus that of gamma-rays was plotted using mathematical Table Curve 2D software [9].



Figure 1. Sampling location map of Hajiabad mine marked with red color point

Specific activity measurements of radionuclides

Equation (2) was used to calculate the specific activity of radionuclide [10]:

$$Act = \frac{Ni}{\varepsilon \cdot x P_n(E_i) \times t \cdot xm} \cdot 100$$
 (2)

Where, the Act is the specific activity of radionuclide, Ni is the net area under the peak corresponding to the specified energy, and P_n refers to the probability of gamma radiation emission in E_i energy in percent. ε signifies the efficiency of the energy detector. Moreover, t is the spectral time of the sample in seconds, and m is the sample mass in kilograms [10]. In order to determine the specific activity of ²²⁶Ra in samples, we used gamma-ray lines with 361.95 keV and 609.31 keV for ²¹⁴Pb and ²¹⁴Bi, respectively. The gamma-ray lines 911.21 and 968.78 keV (²²⁸Ac) with emission probability of 100 per decay (26.6% and 17.4%, respectively) were used to determine the specific activity of 232 Th. The specific activity of ^{40}K was determined from its gamma line with 1460.70 keV energy. Moreover, the gamma line of 661.66 keV was used to determine the specific activity of ¹³⁷Cs in samples [11].

Radiological hazard parameters Radium equivalent

The distribution of natural radioactive nuclei is not uniform in the environment, so an indicator for determining and comparing their total level of radioactivity is defined, which is named radium equivalent. With regard to gamma radiation, 98.5% of the radiological hazards of the uranium series are due to the ²²⁶Ra and its progeny daughters. Therefore, the total radiation of the sample is assessed in terms of the activity of the radium by using equation 3. This indicator was determined based on the fact that 10 Bq/kg ²²⁶Ra, 7 Bq/kg ²³²Th, and 130 Bq/kg ⁴⁰K were given the equal dose rate [12].

 $Ra(eq) = A_{Ra} + 1.43 A_{Th} + 0.077 A_K$ (3)

Figure 2 shows the radiological map of the radium equivalent using the SURFER15 software [13], where its amount is given for equivalent lines in Bq/kg.

Absorbed gamma dose rate

The amount of D in each zone depends on the contamination of 226 Ra, 232 Th, and 40 K in soil and rocks. The D at 1 m above the ground originates from natural radioactivity due to gamma radiation, which was calculated by using *Equation 4* [14, 15]:

 $D(nGy/h) = 0.462A_{Ra} + 0.604A_{Th} + 0.0417A_{K}$ (4)

Where, 0.462, 0.604, and 0.0417 are the conversion factors in nGy/h per 1 Bq/kg of specific activities of the radionuclides, respectively [12].

Internal Hazard Index

As a result of the breakdown of a series of uranium and thorium, a certain amount of radon gas is formed, some of which come into the air and can enter the human body through breathing. In order to estimate the amount of exposure from radon gas and its products, H_{in} was employed based on *Equation 5* [12]:

$$H_{in} = A_{Ra} / 185 + A_{Th} / 259 + A_K / 4810 < 1$$
(5)

External Radiation Hazard (Hex)

External threat (hex) means exposure to the external radiation associated with gamma-rays as a result of the breakdown of 226 Ra, 232 Th series, and 40 K. In order to ensure that the environment is safe and does not require radiation protection intervention, this coefficient should not exceed one. This amount is obtained on the basis of the permissible equivalent radium limit for building materials (370 Bq / kg) [16]. The external risk indicator is presented in *Equation 6* [8].

$$H_{ex} = A_{Ra} / 370 + A_{Th} / 259 + A_K / 4810 < 1$$
(6)

Annual Gonadal Dose Equivalent

The AGDE is an annual equivalent dose absorbed by reproductive organs, as well as sensitive parts of the body, such as the breast, bone marrow, and surface bone cells in the population that United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation is considering [1, 2]. The amount of AGDE resulting from the decay of ²²⁶Ra, ²³²Th series, and ⁴⁰K was calculated using Equation 7 [17].

AGDE (mSv/y)=(
$$3.09A_{Ra}$$
+ $4.18A_{Th}$ + $0.314A_{K}$)× 10^{-3} (7)

Where, A_{Ra} , A_{Th} , and A_K in equations 3 to 7 are specific activities of ²²⁶Ra, ²³²Th, and ⁴⁰K in Bq/kg, respectively.

Annual effective dose equivalent outdoor and indoor

The annual effective dose equivalent rate for outdoor and indoor occupancy can be calculated by considering the values of the absorbed dose rate in ambient air 1 m above ground using, as presented in Equations 8 [1]:

AEDE (mSv/y)=D×F×8766×0.7×10⁻³ (8)

Where, D is dose rate in nGy/h, and 8766(h/y) is the annual time. Moreover, F defined occupancy factor as 0.2 and 0.8 for outdoor and indoor annual effective dose equivalent, respectively. Additionally, 0.7×10^{-3} refers to the conversion coefficient in mSv/Gy [18]. According to the European Convention on radiation protection, building material can be used when the annual effective dose absorption by residents is less than one mSv/y. Therefore, the risks of nuclear radiation from the natural radioactivity can be neglected. On the report of the European Union, if the annual dose absorption exceeds 1 mSv/y, it should be taken into account from the radiation protection point of view.

Excess lifetime cancer risk

Equation 9 was used to calculate the developing of lifetime cancer risk due to gamma-ray radiation exposure to the resident population by radionuclides content of the soil.

$$ELCR = AEDE \times DL (75.8y) \times RF (0.05Sv^{-1})$$
(9)

In this regard, the AEDE, the annual effective dose equivalent, DL, the median lifespan of 75.7 years and RF were the risk factors for 1 Sv absorbed dose [19-20].

Results

Table 1 shows results of recorded gamma-ray spectra, the specific activities of 226 Ra, 232 Th, 40 K and 137 Cs in all

the samples. The results of the radiological parameters calculations for samples, including Ra_{eq} , H_{in} , H_{ex} , D, AEDE indoor, AEDE outdoor, and ELCR are presented in Table 2. Figure 3 shows the radiological map of dose rate distribution derived by SURFER15 software, which is similar to radium equivalent map. On the curves, the amount of dose rate in air was given in nGy/h.

Table 1. Geographical position of sampling points and specific activities of radionuclides in the samples in Bq/kg

| Sample | Geographical | coordinate | Specific activities (Bq/kg) | | | | | |
|--------|--------------|------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| | Longitude E | Latitude N | ²²⁶ Ra | ²³² Th | ²³² Th | ¹³⁷ Cs | | |
| T1 | 44.5241 | 37.45656 | 4.08±0.22 | 2.07±0.29 | 23.95±0.80 | ND^* | | |
| T2 | 44.5576 | 37.45830 | 6.89 ± 0.50 | 6.45 ± 0.60 | 84.19 ± 1.80 | 0.23±0.09 | | |
| T3 | 44.5378 | 37.46105 | 3.21±0.22 | 1.22 ± 0.21 | 17.44±0.90 | ND | | |
| T4 | 44.5143 | 37.45861 | 5.94 ± 0.42 | 3.98 ± 0.46 | 55.93±1.40 | ND | | |
| T5 | 44.5099 | 37.46100 | 9.16±0.58 | 6.83±0.67 | 91.04±2.00 | ND | | |
| T6 | 44.5211 | 37.46369 | 3.82±0.37 | 1.38 ± 0.42 | 26.27±1.30 | ND | | |
| T7 | 44.4794 | 37.47067 | 3.08±0.31 | 2.65 ± 0.49 | 32.60±1.10 | ND | | |
| T8 | 44.4801 | 37.46735 | 4.04±0.31 | 2.18±0.36 | 31.99±1.10 | ND | | |
| Т9 | 44.5133 | 37.46735 | 5.18±0.30 | 1.42 ± 0.25 | 20.15±0.60 | ND | | |
| T10 | 44.4731 | 37.47333 | 4.39 ±0.25 | 2.26±0.29 | 31.78±0.80 | ND | | |
| Mean | | | 4.98±0.35 | 3.07±0.47 | 41.53±1.20 | | | |

* ND: not detected

Table 2. Radiological hazards of the soil samples: Raeq (Bq/kg), D (nGy/h), Hin, Hex, outdoor and indoor AEDE (mSv/y), AGDE (mSv/y), and ELCR

| Sample code | Ra _{eq} (Bq/kg) | D (nGy/h) | \mathbf{H}_{in} | H _{ex} | AEDE outdoor (mSv/y) | AEDE indoor (mSv/y) | AGDE (mSv/y), | ELCR (×10 ⁻³) |
|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| T1 | 8.88 | 4.15 | 0.004 | 0.02 | 0.005 | 0.02 | 0.003 | 0.02 |
| T2 | 22.59 | 10.59 | 0.005 | 0.05 | 0.013 | 0.05 | 0.004 | 0.04 |
| T3 | 6.29 | 2.95 | 0.003 | 0.01 | 0.003 | 0.01 | 0.002 | 0.01 |
| T4 | 15.75 | 7.48 | 0.006 | 0.03 | 0.009 | 0.04 | 0.005 | 0.03 |
| T5 | 20.06 | 12.26 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.015 | 0.06 | 0.009 | 0.05 |
| T6 | 7.81 | 3.70 | 0.003 | 0.02 | 0.004 | 0.02 | 0.002 | 0.02 |
| T7 | 9.37 | 4.41 | 0.004 | 0.02 | 0.005 | 0.02 | 0.003 | 0.02 |
| T8 | 9.61 | 4.54 | 0.003 | 0.02 | 0.005 | 0.02 | 0.002 | 0.02 |
| T9 | 7.37 | 4.11 | 0.004 | 0.01 | 0.005 | 0.02 | 0.003 | 0.02 |
| T10 | 10.54 | 4.65 | 0.004 | 0.02 | 0.006 | 0.02 | 0.004 | 0.02 |
| Mean | 11.83 | 5.61 | 0.005 | 0.02 | 0.007 | 0.03 | 0.004 | 0.02 |

Discussion

In this study, the specific activities of radionuclides ²²⁶ Ra, ²³²Th, and ⁴⁰K in travertine samples varied within the range of 3.08 ± 0.31 to 6.28 ± 0.89 , 1.22 ± 0.22 to 6.83 ± 0.86 , and 17.44 ± 0.90 to 91.04 ± 2.00 in Bq/kg, respectively. These ranges were significantly lower than the global average for these nuclei (35, 40 and 412, respectively) [2]. Accordingly, travertine rocks can be used safely without being a threat to public health. Travertine rocks are formed in the bed of hot and cold springs, through which the salt from radium, thorium, and potassium is dissolved in water and transferred to other areas. Therefore, the low level of radioactivity of these types of rock confirms the theory of their formation [1].

The ¹³⁷Cs as an artificial radionuclide was observed only in the T2 sample (0.92 ± 0.23 Bq/kg). This seems reasonable due to the depth of the soil samples and low annual raining in this region, while the soil of Markazi Province is polluted to this radionuclide [21]. This particular nucleus has been transmitted by atmospheric flows from abroad, and it has been found mostly in the soil and the surface of rocks, which is due to the low rainfall and a lower depth of penetration into rocks. The obtained results for the radium equivalent activity values ranged within 6.29-22.59 with the mean of 11.83 Bg/kg, which was lower than the world average (131.69 Bq/kg) and the maximum permissible value of building materials (370 Bq/kg) [16]. Therefore, this type of rocks can be used favorably as building materials along with other rocks. The mean of H_{in}, H_{ex} for travertine samples were 0.005 and 0.02, respectively, which is due to their safety and does not pose any risk to inhabitants. The mean of absorbed dose rate in air at 1 m height above ground, the mean of AEDE indoor, AEDE outdoor for travertine samples were 5.61 nGy/h, 0.03 and 0.007 in mSv/y, respectively, which was lower than the global average (55 nGy/h and 1 mSv/y) [1]. Accordingly, its risk for the population is negligible. The amounts of worldwide average of AGDE and ELCR is 460 µSv/y and 0.29×10^{-3} respectively, the results obtained in this study were very lower than the global average [1]. In Table 3, the results of this study were compared with the

Iјмр

reported results of some other studies in different countries. As you can see, the radioactivity of travertine rocks in the current study is consistent with the results of other countries, and its level is much lower than in the case of granite [21-25]. Moreover, the reported ratio

of radium equivalent (R) for different types of stones in comparison with the results of the current study shows in most cases R > 1, which refers to the better quality for building materials.



Figure 2. Radioactivity map of Hajiabad travertine min basis on radium equivalent in Bq/kg



Figure 3. Absorbed dose rate distribution of Hajiabad travertine mine in nGy/h



| Table 3. | Comparison of the mean | specific activities | of radionuclide | ²²⁶ Ra, | ²³² Th, | ⁴⁰ K, | , and radium | equivalent | with different | types of | of rocks used |
|----------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|------------|----------------|----------|---------------|
| | | | as buildi | ng mat | erials | | | | | | |

| Kind of rock | ²²⁶ Ra (Bq/kg) | ²³² Th (Bq/kg) | ⁴⁰ K(Bq/kg) | Ra _{eq} (Bq/kg) | $R = \frac{Ra_{eq(otherwork)}}{Ra_{eq}(thiswork)}$ | reference |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|-----------|
| Travertine | 4.98 | 3.1 | 41.5 | 11.88 | 1 | This work |
| Travertine (Turkey) | 0.8 | 0.9 | 4.1 | 2.16 | 0.18 | 22 |
| Travertine (Germany) | 4.0 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 32.63 | 2.75 | 23 |
| Granit | 134.0 | 108.0 | 1210 | 758.59 | 63.85 | 24 |
| Gabbrodiorite Iran | 11.1 | 13.2 | 257.2 | 49.78 | 4.19 | 24 |
| Quartz diorite Iran | 30.1 | 44.0 | 709.9 | 96.41 | 8.12 | 24 |
| Diorite Iran | 37.1 | 10.0 | 79.1 | 52.22 | 4.37 | 24 |
| Monzodiorite Iran | 37.2 | 26.1 | 235.4 | 76.49 | 6.44 | 24 |
| Granodiorite Iran | 41.6 | 85.0 | 668.7 | 169.68 | 14.28 | 24 |
| Granodiorite, (Poland) | 45.0 | | | 45 | 3.79 | 25 |
| Granite, (Germany) | 76.1 | 70.0 | 1465.4 | 181.61 | 15.29 | 26 |

Conclusion

The mean of specific activities of ²²⁶Ra, ²³²Th and ⁴⁰K were 4.98, 3.07, and 41.53 Bq/kg, respectively, which are about ten times less than the world average value (35, 30 and 400 Bq/kg). Moreover, the calculated radiological parameters were lower in comparison with the maximum permissible value. Therefore, using this kind of stone as a building material is better than igneous rocks. Moreover, its radiation is no hazard to the inhabitants of the building.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the Deputy of Research at Arak University for the financial support of this project.

References

- Jamshidi A, Nikudel MR , Khamehchiyan M 1. Yeganehfar H. Zalooli A. Estimating the Mechanical Properties of Travertine Building Stones Due to Salt Crystallization Using Multivariate Regression Analysis. Journal of Sciences, Islamic Republic of Iran. 2017; 28(3): 231 -41.
- UNSCEAR (United Nations Scientific Committee 2. on the Effects of Atomic Radiation). Exposure from natural sources of radiation, United Nations publication sales No. 10.IX.3. . United Nations, United Nations Office at Vienna. 2008.
- UNSCEAR. United Nations Scientific Committee on 3 the Effects of Atomic Radiation.In: Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation, vol. I. United Nations, New York. 2000.
- 4. Singh P, Rana N, Azam A, Naqvi A, Srivastava D. Levels of uranium in waters from some Indian cities determined by fission track analysis. Radiation 1996; 26(5):683-7. Measurements. Doi: 10.1016/S1350-4487(97)82882-X.
- Beretka J, Mathew PJ. Natural radioactivity of 5. Australian building materials, industrial wastes and by products. Health Physics. 1985; 48: 87-95.

- IAEA- TECDOC- 1360. Collection and Preparation 6 of bottom sediment samples for analysis of radionuclides an trace element. International Atomic Energy Agency. . VIENNA. 2003.
- 7. Aziz A. Methods of Low-Level Counting and Spectrometry. Symposium Berlin, 1981; 221.
- L'Annonziata M. Handbook of Radioactivity 8. analysis. Third Edition Academic Press access online Elsevier . 2012.
- 9. available from https://systatsoftware.com/downloads/downloadtablecurve-2d/
- Gilmore GR. Practical Gamma-ray Spectrometry. 10. 2nd Edition, Nuclear Training Services Ltd Warrington, UK. 2008; ISBN: 978-0-470-86196-7.
- 11. Fireston BR, Shirley SV, Baglin MC, Frank Chu SY, Zipkin J. The 8 Edition of Table of Isotopes. 1996.
- 12. El-Taher A, Uosif MAM. The assessment of the radiation hazard indices due to uranium and thorium in some Egyptian environmental matrices. Journal of Physics D, Appl. Phys. 2006; 39(20): 4516-21. Doi: 10.1088/0022-3727/39/20/032.
- 13. Taken from: https://support.goldensoftware.com/hc/enus/categories/115000653807-Surfer
- 14. Ravisankar R, Sivakumar S, Chandrasekaran A, Prince J, Prakash Jebakumar, Vijayalakshmi I, et al. Spatial distribution of gamma radioactivity levels and radiological hazard indices in the east coastal sediments of Tamilnadu, India with statistical approach. Radiation Physics and Chemistry. 2014; 103:89-98. Doi:

10.1016/j.radphyschem.2014.05.037.

- Issa SAM, Mostafa AMA, Lotfy AM. Radiological impacts of natural radioactivity in phosphate rocks from El-Sibaiya and Red Sea coast mines. J Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 2015; 303: 53-61. Doi:10.1007/s10967-014-3312-x.
- 16. EC112. European Commission Report on Radiological Protection Principles Concerning the Natural Radioactivity of Building Materials. Radiation Protection. 1999; 112.
- Zalewski M, Tomczak M, Kapala J. Radioactivity of 17. building materials available in northeastern Poland.

Polish Journal of Environmental Studies. 2001; 10(3): 183-8.

- Mahmoud UMA. Specific Activity of 226Ra, 232Th and 40K for assessment of Radiation Hazards from Building
- ICRP Publicat ion 119. Compendium of dose coefficient based on ICRP Publicat ion 60. 2012; 41(1).
- 20. World Health Organization report: https://www.worldlifeexpectancy.com/iran-lifeexpectancy
- 21. Pourimani R, Davood maghami T. Radiological hazard resulting from natural radioactivity of soil in east of Shazand Power Plant. Iranian Journal of Medical Physics. 2018; 15(3): 192-9.
- Turhan AM., Baykan UN, Sen K. Measurment of the Natural Radioactivity in Building materials used in Ankara and assessment of external dose. Journal of Radiological Protection.2008; 28(1): 83-91. Doi: 10.1088/0952-4746/28/1/005.
- 23. Ahmed NK, Abbady A, El-arabi AM, Michel R, El-Kamel AH, abbady AGE. Comparative study of the natural Radioactivity of some selected rocks from Egypt and Germany. Indian Journal of Pure & Applied Physics. 2006; 44: 209-15.
- 24. Pourimani R, Ghahri R, Zare M R. Natural radioactivity concentrations in Alvand granitic rocks in Hamadan, Iran. Radiation Protection and Environment. 2014; 37 (3, 4): 132-41.
- 25. Orgün, Y, Altinsoy N, Sahin SY, Güngör Y, Gültekin AH, Karahan G. Natural and anthropogenic radionuclides in rocks and beach sands from Ezine region (Canakkale), Western Anatolia, Turkey. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 2007; 65:739-47.
- Alnour IA, Wagiran H, Ibrahim N, Laili Z, Omar M, Hamzah S. Natural radioactivity measurements in the granite rock of quarry sites, Johor, Malaysia. Radiation Physics Chemistry. 2012; 81:1842-7.